



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/829,376	04/22/2004	Elisabetta Carrea	003-130	7097
36844	7590	05/03/2005		
CERMAK & KENEALY LLP			EXAMINER	
515 E. BRADDOCK RD			GARTENBERG, EHUD	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3746	

DATE MAILED: 05/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

SP

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/829,376	CARREA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ehud Gartenberg	3746	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 April 2005.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4-7,9-19,23-26 and 28-40 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-3,8,20-22,27,41 and 42 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on 4/22/04 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Art Unit: 3746

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 4-7, 9-19, 23-26, 28-40 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 4/25/2005. No argument was given as to why the species election requirement was traversed.

Drawings

2. Figures 1-9 are objected as being of insufficient contrast (black on black) to examine the geometry and details of the re-circulating flow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1, 3, 8, 20, 22, 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Stettler 3969892 that teaches the invention as disclosed and as claimed: a gas turbine and the method of its use comprising a compressor 2, a combustor 4, a turbine 3, flue recirculation means 22 for the flue inside combustor 4, wherein the combustor recirculated 200% of its flue gas (col. 6, ll. 12-19). Note that the mixing of the fresh fuel/air mixture with the recirculation flue occurs prior to the entry of the mixture in combustor 4.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 2, 21, 41, 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stettler, because setting the recirculation fraction at 150% would be considered an obvious optimization of the prior art setting said fraction at 200%. Also note that in apparatus claims, the 150% fraction is considered to be a desired result rather than a limitation, and therefore it would not define over a prior art apparatus having the same claimed limitations (see also Sherman 3851467, col. 1, ll. 38-39). Claims 41 and 42 are rejected because whenever there is gas compression there occurs heating; the value of the heating may not correspond to the maximum value predicted by the adiabatic compression assumption, as taught in classical text books of propulsion, thermodynamics, or compressible fluid mechanics, but a certain degree of heating would invariably occur.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following references were not applied in the rejections only because of the USPTO policy of not "piling-up" multiple rejections. Each one of the following references could have been applied as prior art to reject the standing claims:

Art Unit: 3746

Brandon 3826083, col. 1, l. 38 et seq., Sherman 3851467, col. 1, ll. 38-39 (!) and col. 4, l. 63 et seq., Dabling 5,885,068, col. 2, l. 23 et seq. Wunning 5154599.

8. In response to the arguments given by the Applicants in the Election filing, the Examiner notes that the invention is examined as claimed (not as disclosed).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ehud Gartenberg whose telephone number is 571 272 4828. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Thorpe can be reached on 571 272 4444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ehud Gartenberg
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3746

04282005